

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 4 and 20 are active.


The rejection of Claims 1-3 and 17-20 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph is no longer applicable as these claims have been cancelled.

To the provisional rejection under the doctrine of obviousness type double patenting in view of co-pending application no. 11/664,714 in accordance with MPEP § 822.01, Applicants request as the "provisional" double patenting rejection in the present application is the only rejection remaining in that application, the examiner should withdraw the rejection and permit the application to issue as a patent, thereby converting the "provisional" double patenting rejection in the other application, if applicable, into a double patenting rejection at the time the present application issues as a patent.

There being no further issues, a Notice of Allowance is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
Norman E. Oblon



Daniel J. Pereira, Ph.D.
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 45,518

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 08/07)